

Persoonsgegevens

Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: woensdag 10 maart 2021 09:44
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: RE: Dutch video about voting and vaccination

Hi Facebook team,

Thank you for the response.
I see the video now has the fact-checking label, it didn't have it before, so that is also a good solution.

Kind regards,

Persoonsgegeven

-----Oorspronkelijk bericht-----

Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: dinsdag 9 maart 2021 22:43
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: Re: Dutch video about voting and vaccination

Hi,

Thank you for your report. Please note that the content you have brought to our attention is not in violation of our Terms of Service. We do not believe that the content is infringing local laws, and as such, it will remain on the site at this time. Do not hesitate to provide us with further information, such as identifying the specific laws you believe this piece of content may be infringing, as well as any other additional information.

Regards,
Facebook Team

On Tue, Mar 09, 2021 at 03:15 AM, PersoonsgegePersoonsgegevens wrote:

> Dear FB team,
>
> We have been receiving questions from Dutch voters about a video that connects Dutch voting passes to an agreement to get vaccinated.
> It is about a video which has been going around on Facebook:
> Persoonsgegevens
> It is implied that if you cast your vote, this automatically means you agree to get vaccinated.
> This is of course not correct, and has been fact-checked by the DPA:
> <https://dpa-factchecking.com/netherlands/210308-99-737058/>
>
> Could you take a look at these video's?
>
> Kind regards,
>
> Persoonsgegeven
>
> Persoonsgegevens
>

>Democracy Department
>Democracy and Governance Division
>Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations Turfmarkt 147 | 2511 DP
>| The Hague P.O. Box 20011 | 2500 EA | The Hague | The Netherlands

>.....

>E **Persoonsgegevens**

>M **Persoonsgegevens**

>.....

>

>Dit bericht kan informatie bevatten die niet voor u is bestemd. Indien u niet de geadresseerde bent of dit bericht abusievelijk aan u is toegezonden, wordt u verzocht dat aan de afzender te melden en het bericht te verwijderen. De Staat aanvaardt geen aansprakelijkheid voor schade, van welke aard ook, die verband houdt met risico's verbonden aan het elektronisch verzenden van berichten.

>This message may contain information that is not intended for you. If you are not the addressee or if this message was sent to you by mistake, you are requested to inform the sender and delete the message. The State accepts no liability for damage of any kind resulting from the risks inherent in the electronic transmission of messages.

Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: woensdag 17 maart 2021 14:52
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: Re: Dutch elections: call to vote for two parties

Hi,

Thank you for your report.

We have reviewed this content and have found no violations of our Community Standards. However, please note that fact-check labels were added to all 7 links provided through the Fact Checking program.

If you have more information or would like us to investigate further, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Kind regards,
Facebook Team

On Wed, Mar 17, 2021 at 03:05 AM, Persoonsgegevens wrote:

> Hi FB team,
>
> Reaching out because of this fact-check:
> <https://dpa-factchecking.com/netherlands/210316-99-845281/>
> We've already seen some messages received the label, so good work on that.
> However, we see many messages that contain the same message, but don't have a fact-check label yet.
> Not only on FB by the way, this is a cross platform thing.
>
> Lots of people post this as a joke, but it can actually lead to votes not being valid if people believe this.
> Hence, we're asking if you can take a look at these to maybe also include the fact-check label.
>
> If needed, please see this information from the Dutch Electoral Council
> about when a vote is not valid:
> <https://www.kiesraad.nl/verkiezingen/adviezen-en-publicaties/publicatie/s/2016/03/staatscourant-e.d/voorbeelden-blanco-geldige-en-ongeldige-stemmen>
> Art. N7 of our electoral law is the one that specifies that it has
> to be clear for which candidate you vote: wetten.nl - Regeling -
> Kieswet - BWBR0004627
> (overheid.nl)<https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0004627/2020-07-01/#AfdelingII_HoofdstukN_Paragraaf1_ArtikelN7>

> Some links:

Persoonsgegevens

>
> Kind regards,
>

>
>Persoonsgegevens
[Redacted]
>.....
>Democracy Department
>Democracy and Governance Division
>Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations Turfmarkt 147 | 2511 DP
>| The Hague P.O. Box 20011 | 2500 EA | The Hague | The Netherlands
>.....
>E Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
>M Persoonsgegevens
>.....
>
>

>Dit bericht kan informatie bevatten die niet voor u is bestemd. Indien u niet de geadresseerde bent of dit bericht abusievelijk aan u is toegezonden, wordt u verzocht dat aan de afzender te melden en het bericht te verwijderen. De Staat aanvaardt geen aansprakelijkheid voor schade, van welke aard ook, die verband houdt met risico's verbonden aan het elektronisch verzenden van berichten.
>This message may contain information that is not intended for you. If you are not the addressee or if this message was sent to you by mistake, you are requested to inform the sender and delete the message. The State accepts no liability for damage of any kind resulting from the risks inherent in the electronic transmission of messages.

Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: zaterdag 18 december 2021 17:09
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
CC: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: NL municipality elections question

Update on your investigation request

Hi,

Thank you for your report.

The issue you reported appears to have been resolved. If you experience the issue again, please let us know so we can further investigate.

Regards,

Facebook Team

From: Persoonsgegevens
Date: Thursday, November 18, 2021, 7:42 AM
To: Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
Subject: NL municipality elections question

Hi,

Thanks for your report. Unfortunately, due to COVID-19, we are currently experiencing delays in responding to most reports. We will continue to prioritize reports related to risk of imminent violence or physical harm, election, or to COVID-19, and are doing our utmost to handle these as usual.

If someone is in immediate danger, call local emergency services. Don't wait.

If you'd like more information on our policies related to imminent violence or physical harm, please refer to our Community Standards at <https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards/>. For more on our response to COVID-19, please find the latest updates here: <https://about.fb.com/news/2020/03/coronavirus/>.

We appreciate your patience. Thank you.

Kind regards,

Facebook Team

From: Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
Date: Thursday, November 18, 2021, 7:42 AM
To: Persoonsgegevens
Subject: NL municipality elections question

Dear content moderation team,

Next week on the 24th in the Netherlands there are 'herindelingsverkiezingen', elections for a couple of municipalities that have fused into one new municipality.

We received a message from one of these municipalities, that when both the municipality and citizens want to share the link the municipality has posted to a website that helps determine which political party matches your preference most (an objective voting advice tool), their shared post is immediately deleted/not visible to others.

This specific example was about this link: <https://dijkenwaard.kieskompas.nl> , it was supposedly also this FB message that people couldn't share which has the link in it: https://fb.watch/9ma_TNNXVi/

See the picture attached I received from the municipality employee. It said "nobody else can see your message".

Apparently, currently the message is no longer automatically deleted. But I wanted to flag this and ask if you know why this message was being deleted?

Kind regards,

Persoonsgegevens

Persoonsgegevens

.....
Democracy Department
Democracy and Governance Division
Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations
Turfmarkt 147 | 2511 DP | The Hague
P.O. Box 20011 | 2500 EA | The Hague | The Netherlands

.....
E Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
M Persoonsgegevens

Dit bericht kan informatie bevatten die niet voor u is bestemd. Indien u niet de geadresseerde bent of dit bericht abusievelijk aan u is toegezonden, wordt u verzocht dat aan de afzender te melden en het bericht te verwijderen. De Staat aanvaardt geen aansprakelijkheid voor schade, van welke aard ook, die verband houdt met risico's verbonden aan het elektronisch verzenden van berichten.

This message may contain information that is not intended for you. If you are not the addressee or if this message was sent to you by mistake, you are requested to inform the sender and delete the message. The State accepts no liability for damage of any kind resulting from the risks inherent in the electronic transmission of messages.

If you do not wish to receive emails from this case, please reply to this email and ask to be removed from this thread.



Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: dinsdag 15 maart 2022 12:58
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
CC: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: Local political party account blocked

Update on your investigation request

Hi,

Thank you for your escalation. We have reinstated the accounts.

Do not hesitate to let us know if you have any questions.

Kind regards,
Facebook Team

From: Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
Date: Wednesday, March 09, 2022, 9:14 AM
To: Persoonsgegevens
Subject: Local political party account blocked

Dear Meta team,

We received a notification from a local politician in the municipality Beek that his personal Instagram page (Persoonsgegevens) and the Instagram page of the local political party (@cda.beek) are blocked.

According to the political party, no reason for this block was given. Hence the party has the suspicion that a group of people purposely made false reports to get these accounts deactivated.

Could you maybe look into this block? The local elections are next week, and the party is using these accounts also for campaigning purposes.

We have also given them the link to <http://fb.com/gpa/help> so they might also contact you through other means.

Kind regards,

Persoonsgegevens

Persoonsgegevens

.....
Democracy Department
Democracy and Governance Division
Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations
Turfmarkt 147 | 2511 DP | The Hague
P.O. Box 20011 | 2500 EA | The Hague | The Netherlands

.....
E Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
M Persoonsgegevens

.....
Dit bericht kan informatie bevatten die niet voor u is bestemd. Indien u niet de geadresseerde bent of dit bericht abusievelijk aan u is toegezonden, wordt u verzocht dat aan de afzender te melden en het bericht te verwijderen. De Staat aanvaardt geen aansprakelijkheid voor schade, van welke aard ook, die verband houdt met risico's verbonden aan het elektronisch verzenden van berichten.

This message may contain information that is not intended for you. If you are not the addressee or if this message was sent to you by mistake, you are requested to inform the sender and delete the message. The State accepts no liability for damage of any kind resulting from the risks inherent in the electronic transmission of messages.

If you do not wish to receive emails from this case, please reply to this email and ask to be removed from this thread.



Persoonsgegevens

Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: maandag 15 maart 2021 11:44
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
CC: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: RE: FW: Twitter account geblokkeerd n.a.v. tweets verkiezingen?

Hi Persoonsgegeve,

Thanks for the update this clarifies it!

Persoonsgegeven

Van: Persoonsgegevens@twitter.com>
Verzonden: maandag 15 maart 2021 11:17
Aan: Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl>
cc: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: Re: FW: Twitter account geblokkeerd n.a.v. tweets verkiezingen?

Hey Persoonsgegevens,

Hope you're well this morning. Yes, the account had been challenged to verify their phone number and when they failed, the system locked the account to secure it. They managed to verify the phone number and the account was already unlocked when I escalated the issue.

Hope this helps.

Persoo

On Mon, 15 Mar 2021 at 08:04, Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl wrote:

Hi Persoonsgegeve,

Was wondering if you have heard anything back from the review.
My election colleagues want to contact the municipality about what happened.

Kind regards,

Persoonsgegeve

Van: Persoonsgegevens@twitter.com>
Verzonden: donderdag 11 maart 2021 14:46
Aan: Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl>
cc: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: Re: FW: Twitter account geblokkeerd n.a.v. tweets verkiezingen?

Sure, will send it for review now.

On Thu, 11 Mar 2021 at 11:22, **Persoonsgegevens** <persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl> wrote:

Hi **Persoonsgegeve**,

The Twitter account of the municipality Midden-Delfland is blocked. But then don't know why. Can you look into this? They haven't posted anything misleading.

[\(1\) Gem. Midden-Delfland \(@Midden_Delfland\) / Twitter](#)

Kind regards,

Persoonsgegeven

Van: **Persoonsgegevens** <persoonsgegevens@middendelfland.nl>

Verzonden: donderdag 11 maart 2021 10:18

Aan: **Persoonsgegevens** <persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl>

Onderwerp: Twitter account geblokkeerd n.a.v. tweets verkiezingen?

Hallo collega's,

Ons Twitteraccount blijkt geblokkeerd te zijn. Twitter geeft niet specifiek aan waarom dit is, maar wij hebben sterk het vermoeden dat dit met onze Twitterberichten over de verkiezingen te maken heeft. Aangezien we de laatste tijd ook weinig anders geplaatst hebben. De berichten zouden dan in strijd zijn met de regels. Hebben jullie hier meer geluiden van gehoord?

Met vriendelijke groet,

Persoonsgegevens

Gemeente Midden-Delfland

Persoonsgegevens | Communicatiemedewerker

Persoonsgegevens | **Persoonsgegevens**

Midden-Delfland is in 2008 uitgeroepen tot de eerste Cittaslow van Nederland. Dit is het wereldwijde netwerk van gemeenten dat zich sterk maakt om de kwaliteit van leven te verbeteren. Kijk voor meer informatie op www.middendelfland.nl en www.cittaslow-nederland.nl.



Ga bewust om met het milieu. Print deze e-mail alleen als het echt nodig is.

Persoonsgegevens

Van: Persoonsgegevens
Verzonden: woensdag 17 maart 2021 10:49
Aan: Persoonsgegevens
CC: Persoonsgegevens
Onderwerp: Dutch elections calls to vote for two parties
Urgentie: Hoog

Hi Twitter team,

I just made a report for messages we are seeing in NL on multiple social media platforms (incl. Twitter).
See: Case# 0199068291: partner_election [ref:00DA000000K0A8.5004w0000279Jas:ref]

People are posting that you can vote for two parties.
Mostly this is done as a joke.
This is not illegal, but can actually cause people's votes to not be valid, if they follow this 'advice'.
There is also a fact-check available: <https://dpa-factchecking.com/netherlands/210316-99-845281/>
And please see this information from the Dutch Electoral Council about when a vote is not valid: <https://www.kiesraad.nl/verkiezingen/adviezen-en-publicaties/publicaties/2016/03/staatscourant-e.d/voorbeelden-blanco-geldige-en-ongeldige-stemmen>
Art. N7 of our electoral law is the one that specifies that it has to be clear for which candidate you vote: wetten.nl - [Regeling - Kieswet - BWBR0004627 \(overheid.nl\)](https://overheid.nl)

Persoonsgegevens

[Redacted content]

Hopefully you are able to check this and refer to the fact-check or something like this.

Kind regards,

Persoonsgegevens

[Redacted signature]

.....
Democracy Department
Democracy and Governance Division
Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations
Turfmarkt 147 | 2511 DP | The Hague
P.O. Box 20011 | 2500 EA | The Hague | The Netherlands

.....
E Persoonsgegevens@minbzk.nl
M Persoonsgegevens
.....