

Public stakeholder consultation - Interim evaluation

[Horizon 2020](#), with a budget of €77bn from 2014 – 2020, is the biggest European Union research and innovation framework programme ever and one of the largest worldwide. Horizon 2020 promotes Europe’s scientific and technological excellence to extend the frontiers of human knowledge, boosts the European Union’s economic competitiveness and addresses societal challenges.

We invite everyone to take part in this public stakeholder consultation in the context of the interim evaluation of Horizon 2020, and to have a say on research and innovation activities run by the European Commission. The results of this consultation will feed into the report on the interim evaluation of Horizon 2020, will help us to improve the implementation of Horizon 2020 and will set the scene for the future discussions on the next EU research and innovation funding post-2020.

According to the legal act establishing Horizon 2020, the European Commission has to carry out an interim evaluation of the programme by 31 December 2017. Public stakeholder consultation is a very important part of this interim evaluation.

This Horizon 2020 questionnaire consists of six parts and it will take around 20 minutes to respond.

At the end of the questionnaire there is an opportunity to upload a position paper for the interim evaluation of Horizon 2020.

The questionnaire is focused on Horizon 2020 in general. There are/will be separate online consultations on the [Euratom Programme](#), the European Institute of Innovation and Technology ([EIT](#)), and public-public and public-private partnerships ([more information here](#)).

I. ABOUT YOU

Part I consists of questions about the respondent. We would like to know who our respondents are in order to better understand their perspective, expectations and needs in terms of research and innovation. It will also help us to tailor this survey to respondents' experiences with Horizon 2020.

***1. I am responding**

- As an individual
- On behalf of a single institution/company
- On behalf of an “umbrella” organisation of EU interest

***1.1 What type of organisation do you represent?**

- Academia
- Research organisation
- Business
- Public authority
- Non-Governmental Organisation
- Other

***1.3 Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register?**

If your organisation is not registered, we invite you to register [here](#), although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this consultation. [Why a transparency register?](#)

- Yes
- No

***2. You are from**

or if you answer on behalf of an organisation, country where it is established

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Austria | <input type="checkbox"/> Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Bulgaria | <input type="checkbox"/> Croatia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cyprus | <input type="checkbox"/> Czech Republic | <input type="checkbox"/> Denmark | <input type="checkbox"/> Estonia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Finland | <input type="checkbox"/> France | <input type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input type="checkbox"/> Greece |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input type="checkbox"/> Ireland | <input type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input type="checkbox"/> Latvia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lithuania | <input type="checkbox"/> Luxembourg | <input type="checkbox"/> Malta | <input type="checkbox"/> Netherlands |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Poland | <input type="checkbox"/> Portugal | <input type="checkbox"/> Romania | <input type="checkbox"/> Slovak Republic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Slovenia | <input type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input type="checkbox"/> Sweden | <input type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Albania | <input type="checkbox"/> Bosnia and Herzegovina | <input type="checkbox"/> Faroe Islands | <input type="checkbox"/> Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Georgia | <input type="checkbox"/> Iceland | <input type="checkbox"/> Israel | <input type="checkbox"/> Moldova |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Montenegro | <input type="checkbox"/> Norway | <input type="checkbox"/> Serbia | <input type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tunisia | <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Ukraine | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

3. Information about the respondent

* **First name:** -

* **Last name:** -

* **Email address:** -

* **Organisation (please reply N/A if responding as an individual citizen):** *Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands*

*** 4. Your contribution**

Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under [Regulation \(EC\) N°1049/2001](#)

- can be published with your personal information** (I consent to the publication of all the information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name or my organisation's name, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication)
- can be published provided that you remain anonymous** (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that it is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent the publication.

***5. Have you received/are you receiving support from Horizon 2020 ?**

YES

NO

II HORIZON 2020 - PRIORITIES AND OBJECTIVES

Part II is focused on priorities and objectives of Horizon 2020. We would like to know whether the activities under Horizon 2020 contribute to wider European Union policy priorities as well as to the programme's objectives. Horizon 2020 objectives are set up in the legal act establishing the Programme and they are reflected in its structure.

8. Do you think that Horizon 2020 is contributing to the following priorities of the European Union?

	Not at all	To some extent	To large extent	Fully	I don't know
*Promoting an Energy Union with a forward looking climate policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Fostering the role of the European Union as a stronger global actor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Helping to create a digital single market	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Achieving a deeper and fairer internal market with a strengthened industrial base	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. Do you think that Horizon 2020 is helping to:

	Not at all	To some extent	To large extent	Fully	I don't know
*Build a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Implement the "Europe 2020" strategy, the EU's strategy for jobs and smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Develop and implementing EU policies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Support the development of the European Research Area, a unified area open to the world, in which scientific knowledge, technology and researchers circulate freely.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Foster excellent science.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Boost industrial leadership.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Improve the lifelong health and well-being of all.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Secure sufficient supplies of safe, healthy and high quality food and other bio-based products.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Make the transition to a reliable, affordable, publicly accepted, sustainable and competitive energy system.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Achieve a European transport system that is resource-efficient, climate- and environmentally-friendly, safe and seamless for the benefit of all citizens, the economy and society.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Achieve a resource- and water-efficient and climate change resilient economy and society, protection and sustainable management of natural resources and ecosystems and a sustainable supply and use of raw materials.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Foster a greater understanding of Europe, providing solutions and supporting inclusive, innovative and reflective European societies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Foster secure European societies in a context of unprecedented transformations and growing global interdependencies and threats, while strengthening the European culture of freedom and justice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Spread excellence and widening participation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
*Support science with and for society.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

III. RELEVANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF H2020

In **part III**, we would like to know whether Horizon 2020 priorities and forms of funding respond e.g. to current challenges as well as to the needs and expectations of stakeholders. Some questions in this part are addressed to participants in Horizon 2020 projects and focus on different aspects of Horizon 2020 implementation. Hands-on experience with project preparation and management will represent important feedback for us.

10. Do you think that:

	Not at all	To some extent	To large extent	Fully	I don't know
*Horizon 2020 priorities address the current challenges confronted by the European Union (e.g. migration, terrorism, ageing population)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Horizon 2020 priority areas and calls support the latest developments in research and innovation at the national/European and international level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Horizon 2020 is stimulating disruptive and market-creating innovation (a new process, product or service that upsets existing business models and serves new set of customers)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Horizon 2020 addresses the main citizens' needs in terms of research and innovation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Horizon 2020 thematic coverage is flexible enough to cope with changing circumstances	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Are the forms of funding provided through Horizon 2020 relevant to your needs?

	Not at all	To some extent	To large extent	Fully	I don't know
*Grants for collaborative projects	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Grants for single beneficiary projects	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Financial instruments (e.g. loans, guarantees)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Public procurement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Prizes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Co-fund (e.g. ERA-NET)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11.1 Please provide here any other comments (if any) on the relevance of Horizon 2020 priorities and forms of funding.

500 character(s) maximum

The right funding instrument has to be determined by the calls' objective. An appropriate balance between grants and other fin. instruments is key. To increase impact of investments, blended finance or revolving funding mechanisms could prove effective, esp. for SMEs. Larger corporations need other fin. arrangements to mitigate risk. Effectiveness of revolving funds could increase if these allowed for convertible loans/asymmetrical profit sharing in favor of private investors where appropriate.

12. Please Rate the following Horizon 2020 implementation aspects for:

Work Programme and calls

	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	I don't know
*Transparency in the process of formulating the Work Programme	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*Clarity of the calls for proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Ease of finding the right call for my proposal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Frequency of calls for proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Inclusion of Social Sciences and Humanities in calls for proposals across Horizon 2020	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Balance between small and large indicative project sizes in the calls for proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Communication activities on Horizon 2020 to attract applicants	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Frequency of use of 2-stage procedure in evaluating proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Proposal Evaluation

	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	I don't know
*Time to take to evaluate proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Quality of the feedback from the evaluation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Time taken to sign a grant agreement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Frequency of calls for proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Grants

	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	I don't know
*Support provided by the EC services (including agencies) during grant preparation and implementation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Acceptance of organisations' usual accounting practices	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Mechanisms for project monitoring and reporting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Balance between control and trust beneficiaries	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. Please provide here any further comments on the implementation of Horizon 2020 500 character(s) maximum

Elaborating on the above: often unclear how and why specific topics make WP, despite comitology and high quality input stakeholders; NCP's play important role w.r.t. clarity and communication calls; w.r.t. two-stage, more selectivity needed; evaluation time has improved, but could be better; w.r.t. accepting organisation's usual accounting practices, issues are rejection of full cost option, last closed financial year and internally invoiced costs.

14. If your organization is established in a non-EU, non-associated country, to what extent do you agree with the following statements: - niet van toepassing

	I strongly	I	I	I strongly	I don't
--	------------	---	---	------------	---------

	disagree	disagree	agree	agree	know
Communication activities on Horizon 2020 in my country have helped me find out about the programme	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
It is easy to find calls which are relevant to my area	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
It is easy to find calls that encourage participation of non-EU, non-associated country partners	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

IV. EFFICIENCY AND EU ADDED VALUE

Part IV takes a closer look at the costs and benefits of participation in Horizon 2020 as well as at the EU added value of Horizon 2020.

*** 15. As a result of the simplification measures, the costs of participating in Horizon 2020 compared to the 7th Framework Programme are:**

- Higher
- Similar
- Lower
- I don't know

*** 16. The costs of participating in Horizon 2020 compared to those of other similar international research and innovation programmes are:**

- Higher
- Similar
- Lower
- I don't know

*** 17. How do you rate the overall added value of Horizon 2020 compared to national and/or regional level research and innovation programmes in EU Member States?**

- Higher
- Similar
- Lower
- I don't know

18. What are the main expected benefits of participating in Horizon 2020 compared to national and/or regional research and innovation programmes in EU Member States?

Between 1 and 5 answered rows

	My choice
More cooperation with partners from other countries	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Improved excellence in research and innovation (e.g. more high impact publications and patents)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Improved international visibility	<input type="radio"/>
Strengthened critical mass to address pan-European challenges in a given area of research and innovation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
More tests of technologies through pilot lines and demonstrator projects	<input type="radio"/>
Strengthened cooperation between academia and the private sector	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Strengthened interdisciplinary cooperation	<input type="radio"/>
Projects financed (which otherwise could not be supported at national and/or regional level)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Research and innovation risk shared out	<input type="radio"/>
Reimbursement of costs at a higher level than in national and/or regional research and innovation programmes.	<input type="radio"/>

18.1 Please provide here any further comments (if any) on the EU added value of Horizon 2020

500 character(s) maximum

Excellence and impact are of unequivocal importance in order to maintain a leading role for Europe. Investments in domains with great scientific, societal and economic impact have been enhanced and should be continued. In line with this, H2020 should stay focused on improving the quality of R&I, by organising cross-border competition between talented researchers and innovators, as well as setting the right framework conditions for attracting investments, creating a thriving R&I ecosystem.

19. What would be the impact for you or your organisation if the EU support to research and innovation (Horizon 2020 and its possible successor) were to be discontinued?

500 character(s) maximum

The FP has clear and significant added value for the whole EU as it contributes to ERA (art 179, TFEU) and focuses on excellence. It's vital for the R&I ecosystem, facilitates top level R&I in EU, incl. NL, as well as cooperation with partners across the EU and beyond. Stimulating free flow of knowledge and creation of networks, the FP enhances scientific progress, innovation & economic growth and solves societal challenges. This enables the EU to maintain its global competitive position.

V INTERNAL STRUCTURE OF HORIZON 2020 AND SYNERGY WITH OTHER EU PROGRAMMES

Horizon 2020 offers many funding opportunities and in **part V** we would like to collect information about the internal structure of Horizon 2020. We also look at other European Union funding programmes and their synergies and/or overlaps with Horizon 2020.

20. To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding the internal structure of Horizon 2020?

	I strongly disagree	I disagree	I agree	I strongly agree	I don't know
*The different parts of Horizon 2020 complement each other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Combining different forms of support for research and innovation under one single programme better addresses stakeholder needs than having separate programmes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*The increased use of calls for cross cutting activities and interdisciplinary work is a positive feature in the programme	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*In general, there is more coherence and synergy between different parts of Horizon 2020 than in the 7th Framework Programme	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

21. The European Commission implements several funding programmes. How would you describe the linkages between Horizon 2020 and the following programmes?

	Work in synergy	Overlap	Work in synergy but some overlaps exist	Complement each other	I don't know
*European Structural and Investment Funds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Programme for Competitiveness of Enterprises and small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Erasmus+	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*The LIFE Programme	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Connecting Europe Facility (CEF)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

21.1 Please provide here any further comments (if any) on synergy, complementarity and/or overlaps within Horizon 2020 and with other funding programmes.

Increased attention is paid in ESIF to stimulate innovation to increase regional competitiveness, especially ERDF. This could be very much in line with objectives of FP. Yet, synergies on programme level and collaboration at project level come about only incidentally. A clear, aligned and coordinated approach for the post-2020 period of both the FP and ESIF is needed, focused on the most pressing societal challenges, key enabling techn. and fostering a rich innovation and investment climate.

*** 22. Overall are you so far satisfied with Horizon 2020?**

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Very dissatisfied
- I don't know

VI. FORWARD LOOKING QUESTIONS

Horizon 2020 will end in the year 2020 and we would like to have a first overview of relevant issues and feedback for a possible successor programme. The European Commission's goal is to maximize the socio-economic impact of the EU support to research and innovation, so we would like to hear from you what could be done to achieve this.

between 1 and 5 answered rows

23. Horizon 2020 mainstreams sustainable development as a cross-cutting issue for collaborative research and dedicates 60% of its budget to sustainable development. Research and innovation is an important driver for the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals. The FP7 ex-post evaluation concluded that Horizon 2020 and its successors should focus on critical challenges and opportunities in the global context.

Please choose up to 5 Sustainable Development Goals on which, in your opinion, the future EU framework programmes for research and innovation should focus.

	My choice
End poverty in all its forms everywhere	<input type="checkbox"/>
End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ensure inclusive and quality education for all and promote lifelong learning	<input type="checkbox"/>
Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ensure access to water and sanitation for all	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all	<input type="checkbox"/>
Promote inclusive and sustainable economic growth, employment and decent work for all	<input type="checkbox"/>
Build resilient infrastructure, promote sustainable industrialization and foster innovation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reduce inequality within and among countries	<input type="checkbox"/>
Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns	<input type="checkbox"/>
Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and reverse land degradation, halt biodiversity loss	<input type="checkbox"/>
Promote just, peaceful and inclusive societies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development	<input type="checkbox"/>

24. In your opinion, what is the most important issue/problem/opportunity to be addressed by the EU framework programmes for research and innovation? Indicate one area/topic.

200 character(s)

Achieving excellent research, promoting industrial leadership, addressing societal challenges.

25. To what extent do you agree that the following issues are needed to further maximize the socio-economic impact of the EU framework programme for research and innovation?

	I strongly disagree	I disagree	I agree	I strongly agree	I don't know
*Increased budget for financing research and innovation at EU level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*More room for bottom-up proposals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Better defined priorities for research and innovation activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased involvement of citizens in priority setting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased use of cross-cutting calls (e.g. calls responding to more than one societal challenge)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased coordination/ synergy with other EU programmes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Better access to the programme for newcomers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased focus on capacity-building activities for research and innovation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased focus on supporting closer to market activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased focus on supporting demonstration	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased focus on fundamental research	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased dissemination activities for the results of funded projects	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
*Increased focus on support for the exploitation of research results	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

25.1 Please provide here any further comments (if any) on issues you find important regarding a possible successor programme to Horizon 2020.

500 character(s) maximum (500 characters left)

-

26. Please upload a document (e.g. position paper) if you wish to share any further comments/recommendations regarding the implementation of EU framework programmes, and in particular on Horizon 2020. -> zie position paper

The maximum file size is 1MB.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

Please share with us a short, telegraphic testimonial. What does Horizon 2020 mean to you? What is its main feature?

200 character(s) maximum (200 characters left)

-